Part 8: Was Jeremiah Imprisoned in Zedekiah’s Reign?
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The book of Jeremiah is the principal source for matters relating to Jeremiah, but the book of Jeremiah does not claim to be a biography of his life. John Bright noted that “we know far more of his life than we do of that of any other [Hebrew] prophet,” but from the biographical narratives, we have just an “outline of Jeremiah’s career after the year 609” B.C.¹ Scholars have identified the reign of Zedekiah with numerous undated and dated sayings and biographical narratives in the book of Jeremiah.² These passages indicate that Zedekiah ruled in a complex situation. False prophets in Jerusalem proclaimed the imminent return of Jehoiachin and all of the exiles and thus, they proclaimed “the abdication of Zedekiah.”³ Jeremiah battled against this false expectation (Jeremiah 28). Frauds in Babylonia denounced Jeremiah’s prophecies of an extended exile (Jeremiah 29:4-14, 24-32). Zedekiah’s “position was ambiguous in that his nephew Jehoiachin was still regarded as the legitimate king by many of his subjects and, apparently, by the Babylonians as well.” This ambiguity “undoubtedly undercut whatever authority he may have had. At the same time, there were probably, among Zedekiah’s nobles who had profited from the deportation of their predecessors, those who regarded themselves as the true remnant of Judah to whom the land rightfully belonged” (Ezekiel 11:14-17; 33:23-29). Some nobles “apparently began to attach dynastic hopes to Zedekiah” (Jeremiah 23:5-8). “As long as such notions were abroad, whether attached to Jehoiachin or Zedekiah, a continual ferment was inevitable.”⁴

Zedekiah appears to have considered seriously a rebellion against Nebuchadrezzar when reports of revolt in Babylon, involving part of the Babylonian army and some of the Jewish exiles, reached Judah (Jeremiah 29:7-9, 20-23). According to the Babylonian chronicle, in the tenth year of Nebuchadrezzar’s reign, “there was a revolt in Babylon that lasted from the month of Kislev to the month of Tebeth (from mid-December 595 to mid-February 594 B.C.E.). This revolt was suppressed only after Nebuchadrezzar ordered the execution of many in his own army and when he had personally trapped the leader of the revolt, whose identity is not known.”⁵ The rebellion in
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Babylon, “although rather quickly suppressed, raised hopes in Palestine. Within the year (594/3) ambassadors of Edom, Moab, Ammon, Tyre, and Sidon [Jeremiah 27:3] met in Jerusalem to discuss plans for revolt.” Prophets in Babylonia and Jerusalem (Jeremiah 29:20-23; 28:1-4) were “encouraging revolt,” as being the will of the Lord. In Jerusalem, Jeremiah “denounced such talk as a lie” spoken in the Lord’s name. He also “wrote a letter to the exiles ... bidding them forget their wild dreams and settle down for a long stay. The plot, whether because the Egyptians were unwilling to support it, or because saner counsel prevailed, or because the conspirators could not agree among themselves, did in fact come to nothing.”

Kathleen M. O’Connor did not discuss the Jerusalem conference directly, but instead viewed the prophetic conflict about when the exiles would return as a battle that began in Zedekiah’s first year “immediately after the first invasion of Judah by Babylon.” This battle set Jeremiah against the false prophets of the surrounding nations (Jeremiah 27:1-11), against the false prophets of Judah who were counseling Zedekiah and the people (Jeremiah 27:12-22) and against Hananiah in a personal showdown at the temple (Jeremiah 28). Similarly, S. Kent Brown and David Rolph Seely proposed that discord between Zedekiah and Jeremiah concerning the conference (Jeremiah 27-28) was “an early spark in the conflict that grew up between Zedekiah and Jeremiah and would have offered the king an excuse to punish the already intractable Jeremiah at the beginning of Zedekiah’s reign.”

The exile of Jehoiachin and enthronement of Zedekiah by Nebuchadrezzar certainly raised questions about when the exile would end and when, if ever, Jehoiachin would return. Differing prophetic views would have been in conflict immediately. However, the conflict between prophets does not mean Zedekiah and Jeremiah were in conflict. Jeremiah’s views of a lengthy exile actually supported Zedekiah’s continued kingship, if he would remain faithful to the Lord. One might, of course, speculate that Zedekiah rejected Jeremiah’s prophetic views and preferred those that predicted Jehoiachin’s rapid return. On the other hand, it seems unlikely that Zedekiah and his court wanted to be removed from power and punished for cooperating with the Babylonians.

The date of the Jerusalem conference, when the prophetic conflict reached a climax, appears to be given twice in the book of Jeremiah. In the Masoretic text of Jeremiah 27:1, the date is the accession year of the reign of Jehoiakim. This date is “incorrect and apparently an erroneous recopying” of Jeremiah 26:1. The date does not appear in the Septuagint text and it is “certainly not original.” The original chapter probably began like Jeremiah 13 and 19. The
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Masoretic text for Jeremiah 28:1 states an impossibility: the conference occurred in both the accession year of Zedekiah and in his fourth year. The reference to the accession year of Zedekiah is probably a mistaken attempt to correct the inaccurate Masoretic text of Jeremiah 27:1. A further attempt is made to harmonize the corrected reading with the actual date of the conference (the fourth year of Zedekiah) by noting that Jeremiah 27 and 28 relate to the “same year.” In the Septuagint text of Jeremiah 28:1, the events of chapter 28 are dated to the fourth year of Zedekiah and his accession year is not mentioned. When these textual inconsistencies are viewed in the light of the Babylonian chronicle, the fourth year of Zedekiah (which followed the revolt in Babylon) appears certain to have been the year of the Jerusalem conference.

The conflict at the temple between Hananiah and Jeremiah is dated to the fifth month of Zedekiah’s fourth year (Jeremiah 28:1). As a consequence of Hananiah’s false prophecy in the Lord’s name (see Deuteronomy 18:20), Jeremiah proclaimed to Hananiah, “Therefore, thus saith the Lord; Behold, I will cast thee from off the face of the earth: this year thou shalt die, because thou hast taught rebellion against the Lord. So Hananiah the prophet died the same year in the seventh month” (Jeremiah 28:16-17). Surely, Zedekiah paid attention to that event.

After the conference, an official delegation from Judah (perhaps including Zedekiah) went to Babylonia to confirm Judah’s faithfulness (Jeremiah 29:3; 51:59). “In 593 BCE Zedekiah was summoned by Nebuchadrezzar to Babylon, presumably to account for his actions and to renew his loyalty oath. Zedekiah’s planned rebellion and subsequent reprimand by Nebuchadrezzar may have formed the occasion for Ezekiel’s inaugural vision and call to speak against the ‘rebellious nation’ of Israel ([Ezekiel] 2:3). Ezekiel considered Zedekiah’s oath of loyalty to Babylon binding. According to 2 Chronicles 36:13, the oath had been sworn in the name of God … and thus its abrogation violated [the Lord’s] honour and constituted rebellion against [him].”

In interpreting these matters, one cannot ignore or overlook the textual inconsistencies between the Masoretic text and the Septuagint text involving the dates given in Jeremiah 27:1 and 28:1, without violating the principle of thoroughness. Similarly, one cannot ignore or overlook the evidence of the Babylonian chronicle, which is consistent with a conference in Zedekiah’s fourth year. And one cannot ignore or overlook the fact that while others were falsely prophesying a quick return for Jehoiachin and the other exiles, Jeremiah was predicting the opposite – in favor of Zedekiah’s continued reign. One might assume that Jeremiah’s specific warning to Zedekiah (Jeremiah 27:12-15) was resisted by Zedekiah and thereby caused an “early spark” leading Zedekiah to imprison Jeremiah early in the young king’s reign. However, Jeremiah 29:3 and 51:59 indicate that Zedekiah sent envoys to Babylonia and if the Masoretic text of Jeremiah 51:59 is correct, went himself to secure his position as king and to assure Nebuchadrezzar of his loyalty. Jeremiah was free to come and go before the conference because he confronted the ambassadors, Zedekiah and Hananiah as commanded by the Lord (Jeremiah 27-28). If Zedekiah
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threw Jeremiah into prison after the conference, that action would have sent a message of rebellion to Nebuchadrezzar, just the opposite of the message Zedekiah sought to convey.

When all of that evidence is not overlooked or ignored, the supposed "early spark" mentioned by Brown and Seely (if, indeed, there was such a flash of direct conflict between king and prophet) seems most likely to have occurred more than two years after the end of Zedekiah’s first year. Lehi’s family probably did not languish by the Red Sea for three years before seeking to bring Ishmael’s family down to their base camp. Brown has stated elsewhere that the sojourn at the base camp lasted “certainly no more than a year.” Therefore, the “early spark” appears to have occurred nearly three years too late to support a speculative proposal that Jeremiah was imprisoned in, or shortly after, Zedekiah’s first regnal year.

Within about five years after the Jerusalem conference (by Zedekiah’s ninth year) and after the accession of a new pharaoh in Egypt, Judah was in open rebellion against Babylonia. Some understanding may have been reached with pharaoh Hophra (also known as Apries, who ruled Egypt from 589 to 570 B.C.). The kingdoms of Ammon and Tyre joined the rebellion. However, based on Zedekiah’s continued communication with Jeremiah (Jeremiah 21:1-7; 37:3-10, 17; 38:14-23), the king "was far from assured in his own mind, but unable to withstand the enthusiasm of his nobles.”

Even more to the point, when Zedekiah began to reign, Jeremiah’s enemies who remained in Jerusalem, had just been spared from exile or execution. However, nine years later (Jeremiah 52:4), after Zedekiah finally chose rebellion, enemies of Jeremiah again faced imminent exile or death. With the army of Nebuchadrezzar besieging the city, the rebellious nobles and false prophets found themselves in a highly stressful situation. Even so, Zedekiah kept communicating with Jeremiah, asking for his help.

And Zedekiah the king sent Jehucal the son of Shelemiah and Zephaniah the son of Maaseiah the priest to the prophet Jeremiah, saying, Pray now unto the Lord my God for us. Now Jeremiah came in and went out among the people: for they had not put him into prison. Then Pharaoh’s army was come forth out of Egypt: and when the Chaldeans that besieged Jerusalem heard tidings of them, they departed from Jerusalem (Jeremiah 37:3-5).

In other words, at this time of immense stress, Jeremiah’s enemies had not yet convinced Zedekiah to imprison the prophet. The implication of this statement extends from the tenth year of the king’s reign (when the siege apparently was lifted) all the way back to the beginning of Zedekiah’s reign when stresses were much less intense—the king and his officials had not yet imprisoned Jeremiah.
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imprisoned Jeremiah. Hence, in my search for sources suggesting an imprisonment of Jeremiah early in Zedekiah’s reign, what I have found are texts in the book of Jeremiah and Babylonian chronicle indicating that his imprisonment probably did not occur until the king’s tenth year.

Why was Jeremiah finally cast into prison by Zedekiah? About the time when the Babylonian encirclement of Jerusalem was nearly complete (Jeremiah 34:6-7), Zedekiah proclaimed a covenant to the people of Jerusalem (Jeremiah 34:8-10) ostensibly to implement the ancient sabbatical law of liberation for their Hebrew slaves (Exodus 21:2-6; Deuteronomy 15:12-18). The Hebrew scriptures provide no indication that the law of release was ever faithfully kept by slave owners and Jeremiah noted that the law had been long ignored (Jeremiah 34:13-14). Conforming to the covenant made in the temple, the besieged slave owners freed their Hebrew slaves (in actuality, perhaps, to avoid an uprising within the walls of the city and to make service in defense of the city the quid pro quo for shelter, food and drink). On the surface, the release seemed to indicate that the king and his people were repentant (Jeremiah 34:15), but when the Babylonian army withdrew from the gates of the city (Jeremiah 34:21-22), the liberated slaves were forced back into slavery (Jeremiah 34:11, 16). This re-enslavement brought a stinging rebuke from Jeremiah against the slave owners. Although the Babylonians had withdrawn, they would return and the Lord would liberate the unrepentant slave owners “to the sword, to the pestilence, and to the famine;” their dead bodies would become meat for the beasts and fowls; and Zedekiah and his nobles would be delivered into the hands of their enemies (Jeremiah 34:17-22).

At the same time, Jeremiah called on the populace to listen to the words of the Lord and leave the city (Jeremiah 21:1-10; 38:1-3). Even at this late date, Jeremiah continued to work, convincing some of the people of Jerusalem to see the error of rebellion. Perhaps many were finally willing to listen to the prophet and the Lord, and to escape from the city (Jeremiah 38:19; 39:9; 52:15) because court officials became furious with Jeremiah for weakening the morale of the soldiers (Jeremiah 38:4). He was wrongly thought to be a Babylonian sympathizer (compare Jeremiah 37:11-15 with 51:59-64). Nonetheless, the book of Jeremiah is clear that even after the siege was lifted, Jeremiah was free to come and go among the people in the city because the authorities had not yet imprisoned him (Jeremiah 37:1-5).

Then Jeremiah attempted to leave the city. He was arrested at the gate on a charge of desertion (literally, falling to the Babylonians). He was brought before court officials, beaten and taken to “one of the vaults in the cistern house” beneath the home of Jonathan the scribe
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(Jeremiah 37:11-16). After some time, he was summoned secretly by Zedekiah and interviewed. Jeremiah requested that he not be sent back to the makeshift dungeon because he believed he would die there. Perhaps seeking to gain the Lord’s favor for himself and his city, Zedekiah ordered that Jeremiah should be held in the court of the guard house attached to the palace and given a piece of bread daily (Jeremiah 37:17-21). Although restrained to the court of the guard house, Jeremiah was not alone there and evidently could receive visitors (Jeremiah 32).

Apparently, Jeremiah continued to prophesy to any who might listen about the city’s pending destruction. His ability to preach to the city through visitors to the court seems to have further infuriated Zedekiah’s officials, who demanded that the king authorize them to execute Jeremiah for sedition (Jeremiah 38:1-4). Zedekiah authorized the officials to cast Jeremiah into a cistern under the court of the guard house. The cistern was partially filled with mud, into which Jeremiah sank, and there the prophet was abandoned (Jeremiah 38:5-6). Ebed-melech, an Ethiopian servant of Zedekiah, learned of Jeremiah’s plight. The servant may have assumed the officials had acted without the king’s consent. Ebed-melech begged Zedekiah to release the prophet and Zedekiah granted the request. Thus authorized, Ebed-melech took thirty (or perhaps just three) men with him and they used ropes to lift Jeremiah up into the court, where he was again available for another secret meeting with the king. Jeremiah remained in the court of the guard house until released when the city fell to the Babylonians (Jeremiah 38:7-28; 39:11-14).

Likewise unclear whether the second expression, ‘in the cells’ ... is intended as a hendiadys (Bright: ‘in one of the vaults of the cistern house’; similarly Rudolph), or is intensive (‘indeed in the cells,’ so Volz). One has the impression, then that [Jeremiah] was confined to an underground dungeon in which he might have died (Thompson): compare [Jeremiah 37:] 18, 20.” Holladay, Jeremiah 2, 288.
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